APPENDIX D - EVIDENCE FROM FACE-TO-FACE ENGAGEMENT

The Local Democracy Review Working Group met with over forty internal and external organisations between October 2018 and January 2019, including:

- 2000 Community Action Centre
- Advice Lewisham
- Association for Refugees in Lewisham (AFRIL)
- Bellingham Assembly
- Blackheath Assembly
- Calabash Day Centre (Asian Elders)
- Carers Lewisham
- Catford South Assembly
- Contact A Family
- Crofton Park Assembly
- Downham Assembly
- Evelyn Assembly
- Evelyn Community Centre
- Forest Hill Assembly
- Goldsmiths Student Union
- Ladywell Assembly
- Ladywell Society
- LBL Apprentices
- LBL Trade Unions GMB
- LBL Trade Unions Unite
- LBL Young Employees Network

- Lee Green Assembly
- Lewisham BME Network
- Lewisham Central Assembly
- Lewisham LGBT+ Forum
- Lewisham Pensioners Forum
- Lewisham Shopping Centre
- Lewisham Staff Disability Forum
- Lewisham Standing Advisory Council for Religious Education (SACRE)
- Lewisham Stronger Communities Partnership
- Local Assembly Coordinating Group
- Meet Me At The Albany
- New Cross Assembly
- New Cross Learning
- Perry Vale Assembly
- Phoenix Community Housing (Diversity Day)
- Positive Ageing Council (Silver Sunday)
- Rushey Green Assembly
- St Swithun's Over 60s Lunch Club
- Sydenham Assembly
- Whitefoot Assembly

The feedback gathered from residents, community groups and Council staff has been collated and summarised under the three themes of the review.

Theme 1 – Openness & Transparency

Issues		Ideas
	Many people who live or work in the borough knew little about what the Council did and its impact on their lives Channels for accessing information are not easy for everyone to use – residents emphasised the importance of face-to-face contact and support to complete forms/applications online (e.g. several people experienced difficulties in applying for blue badges online) Many residents found the Council website hard to use and that information was difficult to search for/locate (and not always upto-date) Some residents had not received Lewisham Life through their door or by email Generally, people found it difficult to contact the Council (e.g. knowing which department they needed) and a number of them had experienced delays in replies to emails or no reply at all A number of residents struggled to understand or had limited knowledge of decision-making, citing the use of complicated language and technical terminology	Ideas Creating a culture of openness, trust and partnership ■ Develop a more customer-facing culture Using appropriate communication channels ■ Communicate more proactively with residents (e.g. a weekly or fortnightly email) ■ Include information about Council activities on Council Tax bills or in Lewisham Life ■ Continue Mayor's Question Time, ensuring it is well promoted ■ Use social media more effectively (e.g. live-tweeting public meetings, digital summaries of key decisions made) ■ More Council noticeboards in local areas with up-to-date information about open consultations and strategic planning matters ■ Record, live stream and archive all public meetings ■ More local Council hubs across the borough Democratic standards: language & reporting
	ian gaage and teenment terminology	Make Council decisions easier to understand (e.g. by using infographics)

Theme 2 – Public Involvement in Decision-Making

Issues	Ideas
 Councillors were encouraged to continue going 'to where people are' and regularly attend community events to gather residents' views There were doubts about how public engagement and consultation influences decision-making Some respondents were concerned that residents who already participate in public decisions are not representative of the borough as a whole 	 Reaching and empowering seldom heard groups Write to residents with information about how they can get involved and express their views Facilitate more public meetings and events to inform and engage residents More education about how the Council works and how people can get involved

There are often accessibility barriers to public involvement Work with partner organisations (Goldsmiths, third sector Many people were unaware of the different ways they could organisations, community groups etc) to communicate with and participate e.g. some had difficulties finding consultations on the involve seldom heard communities More borough-wide surveys, such as the Residents' Survey website Residents and those affected by decisions should be consulted earlier on in the process More communication about how public participation leads to change in order to encourage people to get involved Use paper-based surveys in addition to an online survey Utilise the Works Council for Council trade union representatives and councillors Developing a place based approach to public engagement Devolve more resources to a local level (e.g. a greater budget allocated to Local Assemblies)

Theme 3 - Effective Decision-Making

Issues	Ideas
 Many people did not know who their councillors were, what they 	Putting councillors at the heart of decision making: roles
did or how to contact them. There was also confusion over who	 Introduce term limits for the Mayor and councillors
makes decisions and when they are made	
 Some felt that there were physical and behavioural barriers 	Putting councillors at the heart of decision making: relationships
between councillors and staff, but stated that having councillors	 Make decisions in partnership with local organisations and
based in Laurence House was a positive change because they	community groups
were more visible and staff could see the work that councillors do	 More cross-borough collaboration
	 Communicate with staff about the Council's progress in delivering
	the corporate strategy (e.g. an annual or biannual summary)